

AUG 24 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATALINA QUEZADA TORRES,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-72822

Agency No. A75-752-028

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 21, 2006**

Before: GOODWIN, REINHARDT, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Catalina Quezada Torres, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals affirming, without

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

opinion, the results of an immigration judge's decision denying her application for cancellation of removal.

We lack jurisdiction to entertain this petition for review because the immigration judge's discretionary determination that Quezada Torres failed to establish exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to her United States citizen children is unreviewable. 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 929-30 (9th Cir. 2005). Quezada Torres' contention that the immigration judge denied her due process, by failing "to properly evaluate the entire [e]vidence and consider all the issues," is not a colorable constitutional or legal claim over which we have jurisdiction. *Id.* at 930 ("[t]raditional abuse of discretion challenges recast as alleged due process violations do not constitute colorable constitutional claims that would invoke our jurisdiction.").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.